## MATH 8100: Linear Optimization

## Extreme Points and BFS

polyhedron: the intersection of a finite collection of half-spaces and hyperplanes
polytope: a bounded polyhedron
Note: The feasible set of any LP is a polyhedron

$$
\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n} \mid A x \geq b\right\}
$$

Note: Any LP can be described as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \min c^{T} x \\
& \quad A x \geq b
\end{aligned}
$$

extreme point: Given a convex set S , a point $x \in S$ is an extreme point if there does NOT exist two DISTINCT points $y, z \in S$ and $\lambda \in(0,1)$ s.t. $x=\lambda y+(1-\lambda) z$
BFS: $\bar{x}$ is BFS if $\bar{x} \in P$ and is a BS
$\mathrm{BS}: \bar{x}$ is a BS if

- satisfies all equality constraints
- at least $n$ of the active constraints of $P$ at $\bar{x}$ are linearly independent (i.e. coefficients of variables are LI)
Note: $\bar{x}$ is a BS iff $\operatorname{rank}\left(A_{I}\right)=n$ where $I$ are the indices of active constraints Theorem: $P \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ a polyhedron. $x$ is an extreme point of $P \Longleftrightarrow x$ is a BFS. Corollary: Given a finite number of inequality constraints, there can only be a finite number of BFS.


## Existance and Optimality of Extreme Points

Note: $P \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ contains a line if

$$
\exists x \in P, d \in \mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash\{0\} \text { s.t. } x+\lambda d \in P, \forall \lambda \in \mathbb{R}
$$

Theorem: If a nonempty polyhedron does NOT contain a lines $\Longleftrightarrow$ it has at least one extreme point.
Corollary: Any polytope and any polyhedron in the form of either

$$
P=\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n} \mid A x \geq b, x \geq 0\right\} \quad \text { or } \quad Q=\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n} \mid A x=b, x \geq 0\right\}
$$

have at least one extreme point
Theorem: Consider the LP $\min c^{T} x$ s.t. $x \in P$ where $P \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n}$ is a polyhedron. If the LP is solvable and $P$ has at least one extreme point, then there exists an optimal solution which is an extreme point.
Note: An extreme point is optimal, but not all optimal solutions are extreme points
opt sols

extreme point at $(0,0)$

Theorem: Consider the LP $\min c^{T} x$ s.t. $x \in P$ where $P \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ is a polyhedron that has at least one extreme point. Then, either the LP is unbounded below or there exists an extremem point that is optimal.
Fundamental Theorem of LP: Suppose that $P$ is a nonempty polyhedron s.t. $P \in\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n} \mid x \geq 0\right\}$. Consider the LP $\min c^{T} x$ s.t. $x \in P$. If the LP is solvable, then there exists an extreme point in $P$ that is an optimal solution to the LP.
Note: The Fundamental Theorem of LP require that $P \subseteq \mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}$. So, $P=\{x \mid A x \geq$ $b\}$ may not be suitable. That's why we do standard form.

## Polyhedra in Standard Form

Standard Form of a Polyhedra: $S=\{x \mid A x=b, x \geq 0\}$
Standard Form LP:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\min & c^{T} x \\
& A x=b \\
& x \geq 0
\end{aligned}
$$

- Case 1: Max Problem

1. change max to $-\min$
2. negative $c^{T} x$ to become $-c^{T} x$

- Case 2: Inequality Constraints

1. For $\leq$, add a slack variable.

$$
\begin{aligned}
x_{1}+x_{2} & \leq 10 \\
x_{1}+x_{2}+x_{3} & =10 ; x_{3} \geq 0
\end{aligned}
$$

2. For $\geq$, first negate entire inequality, then add slack variable

$$
\begin{aligned}
x_{1}+x_{2} & \geq 8 \\
-x_{1}-x_{2} & \leq 8 \\
-x_{1}-x_{2}+x_{3} & =8 ; x_{3} \geq 0
\end{aligned}
$$

- Case 3: Free variable (not listed as $x_{i} \geq 0$ )

1. For free variable, $x_{i}$, let $x_{i}=x_{i}^{+}-x_{i}^{-}$
2. Replace $x_{i}$ by $x_{i}^{+}-x_{i}^{-}$where $x_{i}^{+}, x_{i}^{-} \geq 0$

Basic Solutions in Standard Form: Suppose $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ has full row rank, and $P=\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n} \mid A x=b, x \geq 0\right\}$ is a nonempty polyhedron. $x$ is a $\mathrm{BS} \Longleftrightarrow x$ solves $A x=b$ and there exists column indicies $B(1), \cdots, B(m)$ s.t.

- The columns $A_{B(1)}, \cdots, A_{B(m)}$ of $A$ are LI
- If $j \notin\{B(1), \cdots, B(m)\}$, then $x_{j}=0$
basic/nonbasic variables: For a basic solution, variables $x_{B(1)}, \cdots, x_{B(m)}$ are basic variables, the remainin are nonbasic variables.
basic columns: The columns $A_{B(1)}, \cdots, A_{B(m)}$ are the basic columns and form a basis in $\mathbb{R}^{m}$.
set of basic indices: $\{B(1), \cdots, B(m)\}$


## Polyhedra in Standard Form Cont.

Note:

- nonbasic variables must be 0 . basic variables can be 0 .
- $A x=b$ can be written as $\left[\begin{array}{ll}B & N\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}x_{B} \\ x_{N}\end{array}\right]=b$;
where $B=\left[\begin{array}{lll}A_{B(1)} & \cdots & A_{B(m)}\end{array}\right]$
$x_{M}=\left[\begin{array}{lll}x_{B(1)} & \cdots & x_{B(m)}\end{array}\right]^{T} ;$ and $x_{N}=0$
- $B x_{B}=b \Longrightarrow x_{B}=B^{-1} b$
- If $x$ is a BFA, then $x \geq 0$ and $x_{B}=B^{-1} b \geq 0$


## Optimality Conditions of Extreme Points

A standard form LP can have the KKT conditions applied to it. KKT is necessary (LCQ) and sufficient (convex) for optimality.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
(P F) & x_{B}=B^{-1} b \geq 0 \\
& x_{N}=0 \\
(D F) & \lambda_{B}, \lambda_{N} \geq 0 \\
& c_{b}-\lambda_{B}+B^{T} \mu=0 \\
& c_{N}-\lambda_{N}+N^{T} \mu=0 \\
(C S) & \lambda_{i} x_{i}=0 ; i=1, \cdots, n
\end{array}
$$

Theorem: Suppose that $x$ is a BFS with basis matrix $B$ and define $\bar{c}$ by

$$
\bar{c}^{T}=c^{T}-c_{B}^{T} B^{-1} A
$$

- if $\bar{c} \geq 0$, then $x$ is optimal
- if $x$ is optimal with positive basic variables $\left(x_{B}>0\right)$, then $\bar{c} \geq 0$
reduced cost: $\bar{c}$ is the vector of reduced cost. for each $j, \bar{c}_{j}$ is the reduced cost of $x_{j}$.
Note: $\bar{c}=\left[\begin{array}{c}c_{B} \\ C_{N}\end{array}\right]-\left[\begin{array}{l}B^{T} \\ N^{T}\end{array}\right]\left(B^{T}\right)^{-1} c_{B}$
Note: If $x_{j}$ is a basic variable, $\bar{c}_{j}=0$
optimal: A basic matrix $B$ is said to be optimal if $B^{-1} b \geq 0$ and $\bar{c} \geq 0$ where $\bar{c}$ is the reduced cost.
basic direction:

$$
\begin{aligned}
d_{B} & =-B^{-1} A_{j} \\
d_{j} & =1 \\
d_{i} & =0 \quad(\text { for all nonbasic indices } i \neq j)
\end{aligned}
$$

## The Simplex Method

| -d | c |
| :---: | :---: |
| b | A |

canoncial form:

- $b \geq 0$
- $A$ contains an identity submatrix
- the coefficients corresponding to $I$ are all 0 .

Imporant Notes:

- The variables corresponding to the identity columns with 0 's as coefficients are your basic variables
- If any $b<0$, then your solution is NOT feasible
- If any $c<0$, then your solution is NOT optimal
- You objective value is your negative of your left corner value

Simplex Rule: How to select a pivot to decrease the objective value

1. Pick column with $c_{k}<0$
2. Pick row by the minimum ratio test: the smallest ratio of $b$ value over $a$ value s.t. the a value is postive

$$
\frac{b_{n}}{a_{n k}}=\min \left\{\left.\frac{b_{i}}{a_{i k}} \right\rvert\, a_{i k}>0\right\}
$$

## Development of the Simplex Method

If any $j$ s.t. $\bar{c}_{j}=c_{j}-c_{B}^{T} B^{-1} A_{j}<0$ at a $\operatorname{BFS} x$, then we have direction $d$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
d_{B} & =-B^{-1} A_{j} \\
d_{j} & =1 \\
d_{i} & =0 \quad(\text { for all nonbasic indices } i \neq j)
\end{aligned}
$$

For this $d$, we have that $A d=0$ and $c^{T} d<0$ and $x+\theta d$ is feasible when $\theta$ is small and $c^{T}(x+\theta d)<C^{T} x$. So, the simplex tableau is

| $-c_{B}^{T} B^{-1} b$ | $\bar{c}^{T}=c^{T}-c_{B}^{T} B^{-1} A$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $B^{-1} b$ | $B^{-1} A$ |

Theorem: Suppose that $x$ is a BFS of a standard form LP with reduced cost $\bar{c}_{j}=c_{j}-C_{B}^{T} B^{-1} A<0$. Consider $y=x+\theta^{*} d$ where

$$
\begin{aligned}
d_{B} & =-B^{-1} A_{j} \\
d_{j} & =1 \\
d_{i} & =0 \quad(\text { for all nonbasic indices } i \neq j)
\end{aligned}
$$

and $\theta^{*}=-\frac{x_{B(\ell)}}{d_{B_{\ell}}}=\min _{i=1, \cdots, m \text { s.t. } d_{B(i)<0}}\left(-\frac{x_{B(i)}}{d_{B(i)}}\right)$ then $y$ is a BFS associated with the basic matrix $\bar{B}=\left[\begin{array}{lllllll}A_{B(1)} & \cdots & A_{B(\ell-1)} & A_{B(j)} & A_{B(\ell+1)} & \cdots & A_{B(m)}\end{array}\right]$ and the basic indices $\{\bar{B}(1), \cdots, \bar{B}(m)\}$ where

$$
\bar{B}(i)= \begin{cases}B(i) & i \neq \ell \\ j & i=j\end{cases}
$$

and variable $x_{B(\ell)}$ leaves the basis and $x_{j}$ enters the basis.
Note: $\theta^{*}$ is basically the minimum ratio test.
degenerate LP: A BFS has a value of 0 .

## Finding an Initial BFS

Artificial Variables:

1. First, add a as many columns to the end of your tableau as you have elements in your $b$ vector. They will be identity in the meat of the tableau with 1's in the coefficient row.
2. do row reductions to get the coefficients for artificial variables to 0
3. do simplex method.

Note: If the original problem has a feasible solution $\bar{x}$, the artificial problem has an optimal solution $\left(x^{*}, y^{*}\right)=(\bar{x}, 0)$.
Note: If $\left(x^{*}, y^{*}\right)$ is an optimal solution to the artificial problem with optimal value 0 , then $y^{*}=0$ and hence $x^{*}$ is feasible for the original problem.
Theorem: That original problem is feasible iff its artificial problem has optimal value 0 .
Note:

- After solving artificial problem, it optimal value is NOT $0 \Longrightarrow$ infeasible
- after getting into canonical form: if column of all negatives $\Longrightarrow$ unbounded.


## Big M Method:

1. Add artificial variables but make each coefficient $M$ instead of 1 .
2. do row reductions to get the coefficients for artificial variables to 0
3. do simplex method

Note: The artificial problem will never be unbounded. It could be infeasible, but never unbounded.

## Duality Theory in LP

General Primal:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\min & c^{T} x \\
\text { s.t } & a_{i}^{T} x \geq b_{i}, m i \in M_{1} \\
& a_{i}^{T} x \leq b_{i}, \quad i \in M_{2} \\
& a_{i}^{T} x=b_{i}, \quad i \in M_{3} \\
& x_{j} \geq 0, \quad j \in N_{1} \\
& x_{j} \leq 0, \quad j \in N_{2} \\
& x_{j} \text { free } \quad j \in N_{3}
\end{aligned}
$$

General Dual:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\max & b^{T} p \\
\text { s.t. } & p_{i} \geq 0, \quad i \in M_{1} \\
& -i \leq 0, \quad i \in M_{2} \\
& p_{i}=0, \quad i \in M_{3} \\
& A_{j}^{T} p \leq c_{j}, \quad j \in N_{1} \\
& A_{j}^{T} p \geq c_{j}, \quad j \in N_{2} \\
& A_{j}^{T} p=c_{j}, \quad j \in N_{3}
\end{aligned}
$$

Note:

|  | primal | $\min c^{T} x$ | $\max b^{T} y$ | dual |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $A$ | constraints | $\geq b_{i}$ | $\geq 0$ |  |
|  |  | $\leq b_{i}$ | $\leq 0$ | variables |
|  | $=b_{i}$ | free |  |  |
| $A^{T}$ | variables | $\geq 0$ | $\leq c_{j}$ |  |
|  |  | $\leq 0$ | $\geq c_{j}$ | constraints |
|  |  | free | $=c_{j}$ |  |

Note: The dual of the dual is the primal.
Theorem: If we transform the dual into an equivalent minimization problem, and then form its dual, we obtain a problem equivalent to the original primal problem.

Weak Duality Theorem: If $x$ and $p$ are feasible solutions to primal and dual LPs, respectively, then $c^{T} p \leq c^{T} x$

Corollary: If the primal LP is unbounded, then the dual LP is infeasible.
Corollary: If $x$ and $p$ are feasible solutions to the primal and dual LPs respectively, and $b^{T} p=c^{T} x$, then $x$ and $p$ are optimal for primal and dual respectively.

## Strong Duality in LP Continued

Strong Duality Theorem: If a LP is solvable and has an optimal solution, then so is the dual, and optimal values of both problems are equal.

|  |  | primal |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | finite optimum | unbounded | infeasible |
| dual | finite optimum |  |  | $\times$ |
|  | unbounded | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ |
|  | infeasible | $\times$ |  | $\checkmark$ |

Complementary Slackness Theorem: If $x$ and $p$ are feasible for the primal and dual problems respectively, then they are optimal for the respective problems iff

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left.p_{i}\left(a_{i}^{T} x-b\right) i\right) & =0, \quad A_{i} \\
\left(c_{i}-A_{j}^{T} p\right) x_{j} & =0, \quad A_{j}
\end{aligned}
$$

Recall the primal and dual LPs for this theorem are:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \min \quad c^{T} x \\
& \text { s.t. } \quad A x \geq b \\
& x \geq 0 \\
& \max \quad b^{T} p \\
& \text { s.t. } \quad A^{T} p \leq c \\
& p \geq 0
\end{aligned}
$$

## Local Sensitivity Analysis

Goal: Analyze how an optimal solution changes with certain changes in the LP. Here, our starting tableau is $M$ and our original optimal tableau is $M^{*}$.
Changes in available resources (b)

1. Find the $Q$ (pivot matrix) such that $M^{*}=Q M$

- Q's first column is the vector $e_{1}$
- $Q$ remaining columns are the columns of the slack variables in $M^{*}$.

2. Change the optimval value and $b$ column in the optimal tableau to $Q$ times the first column in $M$ with the change in $b$.
3. If not optimal, use dual simplex method.

Changes is selling price ( $c$ )

1. If the change in price is $q$, we simply replace the corresponding column in the $M^{*}$ tableau to $Q$ times the corresponding column from $M$ with the change in price subtracted
2. Perform pivots to get in canonical form.
3. Determine optimality conditions

Adding new products or constraints

1. Adding a new column, $n$, to $M$ means your add $Q n$ to $M^{*}$.
2. Apply dual-simplex method.

## Dual Simplex Method

Dual Simplex Method: With the primal problem's tableau $(P)$ with $c \geq 0$ :

1. Select $h$ s.t. $b_{h}<0$.

- If $b \geq 0$ and $(P)$ is in canonical form, then we have an optimal solution.

2. If $-a_{h j} \leq 0$ for all $j,(D)$ is unbounded and hence $(P)$ is infeasible.
3. Select $k$ s.t $\frac{c_{k}}{a_{h k}}=\max \left\{\left.\frac{c_{j}}{a_{h j}} \right\rvert\, a_{h j}<0\right\}$
4. Pivot at $a_{h k}$ to 1 .
